

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel  
Tuesday - 23 March 1965

Page 2

25X1 3. [ ] - GLC) Dispatched written invitations to the thirteen new members of the House Armed Services Committee for a breakfast at the Agency on 8 April 1965. Verbal invitations have also been extended to Congressman Chamberlain and Gubser who have indicated an interest in visiting us.

25X1 4. [ ] - GLC) Returned to Robert McManus, Senate Internal Security Subcommittee staff, material which he loaned us in connection with the appearance of [ ] on Friday. I also gave McManus copies of material previously furnished David Martin, of Senator Gude's staff, concerning Soviet murders, kidnapping and terrorist activities. In response to his earlier questions I indicated we did not have a photograph of the [ ] (although I did give him a sketch of same) and advised him that the order of the [ ] presented to [ ] was not retained by him.

25X1 5. [ ] - GLC) Returned to William Lowell, Senate Internal Security Subcommittee staff, the galley proofs of the testimony of [ ] with the correct spelling of three Soviet names about whom the Subcommittee had queried us. I also made the suggestion that other Soviet names which appear in the text be checked against the book SOVIET MEN OF SCIENCE and indicated the Library of Congress might be in a position to do this for the Subcommittee.

25X1 6. [ ] - GLC) Talked with Senator Milton Young with regard to his letter to the Director in which [ ] reported the Agency as having said that the Birch Society was the only organization that was strictly anti-Communist and the enemies the members made are those contrary to Americanism and the friends they made are those who believe in Americanism. I advised the Senator that Mr. McCone had made no such statement and except for an informal statement at an award ceremony given him at Catholic University, has made no public addresses. Senator Young accepted this but asked if we would check to see if any statements which may have been made by Mr. Dulles either in his talks or his writings could have been misinterpreted in this fashion. (I have requested Mr. Chretien's help in looking into this.)